

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,510	11/02/2001	John J. McKillip	72412	6502
22242 7	7590 06/09/2003		•	
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1600	A SALLE SIKEET	•	EICKHOLT, EUGENE H	
CHICAGO, IL *60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	16
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Annlicant(a)			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Office Action Summan	10/004,510	MCKILLIP, JOHN J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eugene H Eickholt	2854			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failund - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 CIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· · <u> </u>	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 9,12 and 15 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>10,11,13,14 and 16</u> is/are objected to					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
-	he specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment		10 priority under 00 0.0.0, 88 120	and/UI IZI.			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>16</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office					

Art Unit: 2854

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth (6,071,585) in view of Chess (5,324,153).

Roth teaches all the steps of these claims except his printing step occurs downstream of the adhesive patch application step. Roth suggests to one of ordinary skill in the art the use of "stock" page 2 at column 3, line 59, by referring to use of "commercially available paper".

Application of adhesive is taught at column 6, lines 18-20. The adhesive and silicone form the patch material being applied as set forth in the passage at column 5, lines 25-30. The formed patch is die cut with the cuts contiguous with the patch materials as set forth in column 7, lines 4-15.

Chess teaches the print station 37 shown in Fig. 1 after patch application may also be in front of application wheel 27. See column 4, lines 10-13. Motivation for placing the printing station as taught by Chess ahead of the patch application station would be where the patch is to cover the printing as contrasted with being placed on the other side of the stock paper. Note that Roth teaches printing may occur on either side of the paper web at column 2, lines 25-27.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,510

Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth in view of Steidinger et al.

Roth is directed to printed business form. See column 1, lines 13-14. Claim 15 refers to a source of stock paper. Roth at column 3, line 59 refers to "commercially available paper" which inherently includes "stock" paper. Roth teaches use of paper in any of continuous paper roll, zig-zag or individual sheet form which inherently would require unwinding from a roll form of continuous sheet to enable passage through the printing press and adhesive application stations for the patch. Printing is taught for the patch. Printing is taught by Roth after adhesive patch application at column 6, line 33. Figure 2 shows "indica has been printed by the press.

Application of adhesive is taught on the backside of the printable web at column 6, lines 18-20. The adhesive and silicone form the patch material being applied as taught in column 5, lines 25-30. The formed patch is die cut with the cuts contiguous with the patch materials set forth at column 7, lines 4-15. The receiving at a finishing station step is not taught by Roth. Steidinger et al teaches one of ordinary skill in the printing art at the time of applicants invention receiving any of a roll 165, single sheets 164 or a roll of fan-folded continuous web.

It would have been obvious to receive the Roth roll, cut sheet or fan-fold label as taught by Steidinger et al at column 7, lines 26-31. Motivation would have been the orderly collection of completed integrated label and carrier products.

Claims 10-11, 13-14 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,510 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. A shortened statutory period of 3 months is set to respond.

Eickholt/ek

06/03/03

EUGENEH. EICKHOLT PRIMARY EXAMINER